

FAST-TRACK

UP PCS (J) **LIVE** BATCH



Aachman Shekhar
HJS Topper (Rank 19)

Mentorship by Judges

Validity 9 Months

Free Mains and Interview guidance



For information call **76-4000-3000**



Batch Just Started



8:00 AM



About Batch

In this exclusive batch course, Aachman Shekhar, Arjita Chaturvedi , Apurva Sharma, Apoorva Purohit, Aman Patidar, Pawan , Abhinav Goswami, Amit Anand, Nishank Agrawal, Divyanshi Chandra and Shashank Yadav will help you prepare for the Judiciary Exams.

Courses will be covered in Hinglish, with notes provided in both English and Hindi, making this batch a comprehensive solution for clear concept understanding and thorough preparation.



Key Features



Syllabus

Paper No

Subjects

Total Marks

Paper- I

General Knowledge

150

1. History of India and Indian Culture,
2. Geography of India,
3. Indian Polity,
4. Current National issues and topics of social relevance, India and the World,
5. Indian Economy,
6. International Affairs and Institutions and
7. Development in the field of Science and Technology, Communications and Space,
8. The Right of Persons with Disabilities Act, 2016,
9. The Protection of Children from Sexual Offences Act, 2012,
10. The Dowry Prohibition Act, 1961,
11. The Pre-Conception and Pre-Natal Diagnostic Techniques (Prohibition of Sex Selection) Act, 1994,
12. The Medical Termination of Pregnancy Act, 1971,
13. The Sexual Harassment of Women at Workplace (Prevention, Prohibition and Redressal) Act,
14. 2013,
15. The Indecent Representation of Women (Prohibition) Act, 1986,

Syllabus

Paper No	Subjects	Total Marks
	16. The Protection of Women from Domestic Violence Act, 2005, 17. The Maintenance and Welfare of Parents and Senior Citizens Act, 2007, Art and Culture, National and International Organizations, 18. UP Special GK & Current Affairs, 19. Environment and Ecology	
Paper- II	Law 1. Jurisprudence 2. International Organizations 3. Current International Affair 4. Indian Constitution 5. Transfer of Property Act 6. Indian Evidence Act 7. Indian Penal Code 8. Civil Procedure Code 9. Criminal Procedure Code 10. Indian Contract Act	150

Our Faculties



Arjita Chaturvedi

- LLB from Symbiosis Law School , Pune.
- Former Advocate in Bombay High Court. 7 years of teaching experience.



Apurva Sharma

- LLB (Hons.) from Aligarh Muslim University.
- Former Advocate in Delhi High Court. 5 years of teaching experience.



Pawan

- Former Bihar APO (RANK-22)
- B.A.LL.B)
- Qualified UGC-NET (Law)



Apoorva Purohit

- **BA LL.B**
- **LL.M**



Aman Patidar

- BA LL.B , LL.M
- Major Laws + Minor Laws



Rekha Rathore

- LL.B. , LL.M. , NET Qualified
- 8 years teaching experience



Amit Anand

- B.A LLB (Hons.)
- 5+ Years of Teaching Experience
- 5000+ Students Mentored



Nishank Agrawal

- 5+ years of Experience
- LL.B. LL.M.(Criminal Law), NET Qualified (Twice)
- 1000+ Students Mentored



Divyanshi Chandra

- B.A.LL.B. (Hons.), L.L.M. (Criminology)
- Expert in Major & Minor Laws
- 7+ Years of Teaching experience (Online)



Shashank Yadav

- 7 Years of Teaching Experience
- LLM (Constitutional Law)
- Mentored 1000+ Students for Judiciary and CLAT Exams

Group Mentors

Group mentorship is designed to help the students to calibrate their personality and be ready to face the interview boards. Personal experience of officers and retired Judges will help the aspirants in aligning their mindset to the nature and ethos of Judicial service.



Aachman Shekhar
HJS Topper (Rank 19)



Mr. Pawan
Former Bihar APO
(Rank-22)



Mohit Jindal



Jasmeet Singh

Handwritten Notes

② Difference between Previous statements of parties and previous statements of witness

Previous statement of parties	Previous statement of witness
Section 143(a) & 143(b)	They do not fall under S. 143(a)
(a) Previous statements of parties are known as admissions	(i) Statements given by them are not admissions
(ii) These admissions are relevant	(ii) They are not relevant but become relevant if they do not fall from S. 54-55
(iii) These are substantive evidence	(iii) They are not substantive evidence. Only purpose is -
because it helps to prove relevant facts	(a) to corroborate
	(b) Contradiction
	(c) Impair the credibility of witness

Case
B.N. A

Q - A stopped B
B said - A stopped me
A said - B did not stop him
C comes as a witness

* Admission is a statement which suggest the inference as to the fact in issue or relevant fact (S. 17)

* Confession is an admission of accused which suggest only one inference i.e. the guilt of accused in terms of offence

③ Confession must be read as a whole. (no particular format)




"Guilt" - Guilt

Inculpatory Part - which suggest inference of guilt

Exculpatory Part - which suggest inference of innocence

* A confession must have only inculpatory statement. If a statement also have exculpatory part then it won't be a confession

Chapter 354 Offences affecting public peace, decency, commerce, & Health



Section 143(a) & 143(b)

Section 143(a) & 143(b) are the provisions of the Indian Evidence Act, 1908. They deal with the admissibility of previous statements of parties in a trial. Section 143(a) states that previous statements of parties are not admissible as evidence. Section 143(b) states that previous statements of parties are not admissible as evidence unless they are made in the presence of the judge or the jury.




Section 143(a) & 143(b) are the provisions of the Indian Evidence Act, 1908. They deal with the admissibility of previous statements of parties in a trial. Section 143(a) states that previous statements of parties are not admissible as evidence. Section 143(b) states that previous statements of parties are not admissible as evidence unless they are made in the presence of the judge or the jury.

Section 143(a) & 143(b)

Section 143(a) & 143(b) are the provisions of the Indian Evidence Act, 1908. They deal with the admissibility of previous statements of parties in a trial. Section 143(a) states that previous statements of parties are not admissible as evidence. Section 143(b) states that previous statements of parties are not admissible as evidence unless they are made in the presence of the judge or the jury.

Section 143(a) & 143(b) are the provisions of the Indian Evidence Act, 1908. They deal with the admissibility of previous statements of parties in a trial. Section 143(a) states that previous statements of parties are not admissible as evidence. Section 143(b) states that previous statements of parties are not admissible as evidence unless they are made in the presence of the judge or the jury.

MCQs for practice



CruX

सनातन महाराष्ट्र राज्य (1977) यह निर्णय और सनातन इलाही बख्त के निर्णय पर आधारित है पर आधारित है।

MEET OUR TOPPERS

150+ Judges all over India*



Ayushi Mittal



Gowri raghunat



Nandini shukla



SHRISHTY KUSHWAHA



SNOW MARIA TIGGA



DEVANSH YADAV



Dharamveer singh
rathore



URVASHI
SURYAWANSHI



Shivam Soni



MRADUL JAIN



SALONI
TEJAWAT



Priya Chauhan



YOGESH CHALISA



RITAMBHARA
RAJE



Shubham Gupta



Akancha



Bharat
Parmar



kajal Singh
Patel



PALAK
PRAJAPATI



Priyanka Chouhan



DEEPAK DAHIYA



Deepak Soni



Sanjay Shakya



YASH ABIDRA



Poornank Choubey



Ayush Arora



CHANDRA PANWAR



Mangal Parmar



SHUBHAM Daheriya



Harshvardhan
Rathore

And many more



15+ SELECTIONS IN HJS 2024



Rakesh Kumar



Aachman Shekhar



Vartika Misra



Tanuj Handuja



Naveen Kumar



Devansh Singh

Congratulations

**To All the Selected Candidates
We are Proud of You!**



Congratulates
To All The Selected Candidates
We Are Proud of You



Ghufrana Naaz t
Rank 2 (EBC)



Farha Nishat
Rank - 8 (BC)



Brijesh Kumar
Rank 12



Habiba Bukhari
Rank 30

10+ Selections in BPSC-J 2024

For All Aspirants

To get help from our experts

- Free Counselling Session
- Free Mentorship Program
- Free Career Guidance

Reach out on the given number:



76-4000-3000

Price: ~~₹12,000~~

₹7,999

Use Code

JUDICIARY

To get maximum discount

Enroll Now: 76-4000-3000 contact@studyiq.com